## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/108,447	07/01/1998	01/1998 . GERALD N. COLEMAN		2408
28661 7590 09/12/2007 SIERRA PATENT GROUP, LTD. 1657 Hwy 395, Suite 202 Minden, NV 89423		EXAMINER		
		MCAVOY, E		ELLEN M
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/108,447	COLEMAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ellen M. McAvoy	1764			
۔۔۔ Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICHI - Extensio after SIX - If NO pe - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in so of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE.	. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	no 2007				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
		r parto quayro, 1000 O.D. 11, 40				
Disposition of Claims						
	4) Claim(s) 31-34,37,38 and 40-52 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31-34,37,38 and 40-52</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	•	alastian requirement				
6) <u> </u>	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application	Papers	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Re	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)∐ Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119		• .			
12) <u></u> Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) <u></u> □	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3.	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/108,447

Art Unit: 1764

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 31-34, 37, 38 and 40-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dubin (5,284,492) in combination with Ford (3,756,794), Gunnerman (WO 95/27021) and Schwab (5,669,938).

Applicants' arguments filed 22 June 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As previously set forth, Dubin discloses a fuel oil composition comprising an emulsion of water and a fuel oil which is used as a combustion fuel for a gas turbine which results in reduced nitrogen oxides emissions and improved combustion efficiency. The emulsion can be either a water-in-fuel oil or a fuel oil-in-water emulsion. The oil phase comprises a light fuel oil, by which is meant a fuel oil having little or no aromatic compounds and consists essentially of relatively low molecular weight aliphatic and naphthenic hydrocarbons. See column 3, lines 41-49. The emulsions which have the most practical significance in applications when combusted alone are those having about 5% to about 50% water and are preferably about 10% to about 35% water-in-fuel oil by weight. Although demineralized or purified water is not required, Dubin teaches that the use of demineralized water in the emulsion is preferred. See column 4, lines 7-35. An emulsification system is most preferably employed to maintain the emulsion. A desirable emulsification system comprises about 25% to about 85% by weight of an

Application/Control Number: 09/108,447

Art Unit: 1764

amide, especially an alkanolamide or n-substituted alkyl amine; about 5% to about 25% by weight of a phenolic surfactant including ethoxylated alkylphenols; and about 0% to about 40% by weight of a difunctional block polymer terminating in a primary hydroxyl group. The addition of a component selected from the group consisting of dimer and/or trimer acids, sulfurized castor oil, phosphate esters, and mixtures thereof significantly increase the lubricity of the emulsion. The addition of a corrosion inhibitor is taught in column 8, lines 56 to column 9, line 2. Dubin differs from the instant claims in not teaching the addition of an ignition delay modifier including ammonium nitrate as an emulsion stabilizer and an antifreeze additive (dependent claim 51). However, as evidenced by Ford, Gunnerman and Schwab, such additives are well-known in hydrocarbon fuel emulsions.

Ford discloses emulsified fuel compositions comprising a hydrocarbon fuel such as diesel and gasoline fuels, an emulsifier, water and an emulsion stabilizer. Ammonium nitrate may be added to the emulsion as a freezing point depressant or an antifreeze additive in an amount of 0.1 to 10% by weight, preferably 0.3 to 0.7 % by weight. See column 1, line 49 to column 2, line 26.

Gunnerman discloses aqueous fuel compositions for internal combustion engines. The fuel comprises a fluid emulsion comprising 20 to 80 vol. % water which may be purified, 40 to 60 % carbonaceous fuel such as gasoline and diesel fuels, about 2 to less than 20 vol. % alcohol such as methanol and ethanol, and about 0.3 to 1 vol. % of a nonionic emulsifier. See page 1, lines 30-36. Freezing-point observations indicated a dramatic lowering of the freezing point as the percentage of alcohol is increased. See page 8, lines 17-19.

Art Unit: 1764

Schwab discloses diesel fuel emulsions containing an emission reducing amount of at least one fuel-soluble organic nitrate ignition improver such as 2-ethylhexyl nitrate.

The examiner maintains the position that it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to have followed the teachings of the prior art and to have added the ammonium nitrate anti-freeze additive of Ford, the organic nitrate ignition improver of Schwab, and the anti-freeze inhibitor of Gunnerman to the hydrocarbon fuel emulsion of Dubin in order to provide a hydrocarbon fuel emulsion having improved anti-freeze and ignition properties.

Applicants argue that:

"Dubin does not teach a neutralizer and acid that react to form a water soluble salt." And that "Claim 31, on the other hand, requires that the acids react with the neutralizer to form a water soluble salt in the emulsion. This is to allow the neutralizer and corrosion agent to be used in the high temperature of an internal combustion engine... Thus, both the neutralizer and coupling agents are present in substantially less amounts than the amounts taught in Dubin"

This is not deemed to be persuasive because, as set forth by applicants, Dubin teaches that the mono-, di- and tri-acids, which act as the lubricity agent, are present in the emulsion in amounts of about 1500 ppm to about 3500 ppm, when the emulsification system is employed to maintain emulsion stability. See column 8, lines 37-45. However, Dubin also teaches that the lubricity agent may be present in an amount between about 50 and about 550 ppm. See column 8, lines 29-34. This amount corresponds to about 0.005 weight % to 0.055 weight % which is within applicants' claimed range for the coupling agent of 0.04 to 0.1 % by weight.

Application/Control Number: 09/108,447

Art Unit: 1764

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen M. McAvoy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1451. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) with alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ellen M McAvoy

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1/764

EMcAvoy September 6, 2007